

Russ Landers Notice & Grace

The unsigned letter reprinted below was delivered to the jailed Freeman by captain McCave in early July. The Freeman believe that to submit to any privilege is tantamount to surrendering into the federal jurisdiction. The following five pages comprise their response. This is a 're-typed' transcript from the book 'THE MONTANA FREEMEN - THE UNTOLD STORY' which we highly recommend reading. Club Founder knows Russ Landers personally and has worked with him extensively enough to know that he is a highly credible individual and worth paying attention to.

=====
From Captain McGave:

RE: Facility Fingerprinting and Photographing

The Detention Facility is "required" by 44-5-202 of the Montana Code Annotated to photograph and fingerprint all persons incarcerated. This is an important function necessary to maintain order and security at the Facility. You have not completed this process and the time has come to do just that. It is not reasonable that all other persons in this Facility have complied with the giving of fingerprints and photographs as required and you have not, yet you enjoy the same privileges as those who have complied

Specifically, the privileges I refer to are.

- (1) Out of cell activity for more than one (1) hour per day
- (2) Personal visitation with family and friends
- (3) Use of the telephone for personal calls
- (4) Use and viewing of Unit televisions
- (5) Use of the Facility Canteen/Commissary system

I would prefer not to restrict any of the above privileges from you, but I cannot ignore the fact that you have not complied with the statutory requirements imposed on us. Therefore, until you have complied with all requirements, the restriction of these privileges may be the only avenue available to me to accomplish this. You will be asked to comply with this request to be fingerprinted and photographed as part of the normal, reasonable Facility process. You will also be asked to answer the necessary informational questions so that we can complete the usual, normal forms required to maintain an accurate record here at Facility.

If you refuse to comply with this normal, reasonable request, I will have no choice but to restrict the privileges listed until you do. I sincerely hope this will not be necessary.

Sincerely,
Captain McGave

Reply from Russ Landers to Captain McGave

Notice and Grace

Captain McCave.

So often we find that if we had just looked a little closer and found out all the facts we would have saved ourselves and others a great deal of confusion and heartache.

I don't really believe that the Yellowstone county sheriff, Mr. Maxwell or yourself would ever intentionally do anything to breach the trust that we the People of Montana state have placed in your hands.

THEREFORE, as I have observed that you have been placed into a very difficult and compromising position by the "de facto" purported federal officials. You deserve to have more information and the "facts" so you can make better more informed choices to how best serve the interest of the people, as they have entrusted to you, and you have committed to do.

Many county sheriff across America have already discovered that the federal invasion is a "lawless set" act against the people of posterity in their county and state, which no honorable sheriff can tolerate when the peace, harmony and safety of the community are at stake. A sheriff can and must say "NO" to these terrorists when he realizes what they have come to his community to do.

I am now going to share with you just a small portion of the positive Law you can rely upon to immediately restore law and order in our (your) community. Banish the vagrant federal imposters from our (your) community and restore their Liberty to Montanan's and others who have entrusted it to you by accepting your oath and affirmation to protect their unalienable Rights. Several of those you are sworn to protect are presently victimized by the lawless conduct of the federal invaders by being dragged under threat of lethal force to Y.C.D.F., in direct violation of the law, as such they are victims of known criminals and those criminals have engaged to victimize you and involve you in the conspiracy against our (your) Republic.

As other sheriffs have found, you will find that to restore law and order you have "NO" choice or Lawful alternative. It comes down to simply recognizing the Law and standing up for your fellow Americans whom you were called to serve and in whose trust you vested your commitment and your oath,

I trust, along with my fellow Americans, that you will act upon your oath to preserve and protect the unalienable Rights of the people of posterity who have entrusted you with their lives, liberty, property, happiness and peace.

Brief in Support of
Lawful discharge of
Sheriff's duties:

Let us begin with a study and comments on "Legislative Jurisdiction of Federal agencies, the (corporate) United States as defined at their own Title 28 U.S.C. s. sec. 3002 (15) (A) 'federal corporation'" In the Declaration of Independence, Thomas Jefferson listed the "causes" that compelled the American colonies to break with Great Britain. One of those causes declared that King George... has combined, with others to Subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our Constitution, and unacknowledged by our laws; giving his assent to their acts of pretended legislation."

At: 16 American jurisprudence section 256, 177 2nd Ed. Declares;

"The general rule is that an "unconstitutional statutethough having the form and name of law, is in reality "NO" law, but is wholly void and ineffective for any purpose, since unconstitutionally voidable dates from the time of enactment, and NOT merely from the decision so branding it. In legal contemplation, it is as inoperative as if it had never been passed.

Desiring- to protect abortion clinics from pro-life protesters, the (purported) federal government, de facto, in and by its put ported enacted the "Freedom of Access to Clinics Entrance Act, (F.A.C.E) based upon this Act , "anyone caught blocking access to an abortion could be imprisoned".

In 199k , the (purported) federal government "de facto" under pretense of jurisdiction invaded the sovereign boundaries of Wisconsin State, to enforce the P.A.C.E. Act, as though it were law. Six of the protesters (Americans lawfully assembled exercising their unalienable Rights to freedom of assembly and of expression) were deprived of their unalienable Rights under pretense of law, arrested, jailed, slandered and libeled by the media and others. Later five were convicted. The sixth Man, Robert Braun, stood as himself, with "NO" bar affiliated (foreign agent) attorney never granting the sham federal invaders a/k/a the U.S. Attorney, U.S. District Court venue or jurisdiction. He argued that the federal District Court had "NO" legislative jurisdiction (NO delegation of authority) to try him.

(Where was the sheriff who had sworn to preserve the unalienable Rights of these Men and Women, who were as we shall see and now know, were victimized , terrorized by federal agencies who never had any "lawful" authority granting venue or jurisdiction to even enter the county much less victimize the Citizens?).

Braun fortunately was strong enough to stand on the Law. Nobody came to his rescue, as they had committed by oath and affirmation to do, and were bound by Law to so act. He did not argue more traditional constitutional issues, but rather based his entire defense upon the "fact" that the Congress of the United States "de facto corporate" had "NO" legislative jurisdiction to enact the F.A.C.E., statute, in the first place. THEREFORE, the F.A.C.E, fiction of law was "void ab initio" (from the beginning) and he could not be lawfully tried or convicted for a non-existent law or offence.

Braun knew that any judge de facto) who proceeds without jurisdiction becomes personally liable for any and all damages inflicted upon the accused-but only if the accused objects to the court's presumption of jurisdiction, (proceedings) see: Heifer v. Melo S, Ct. . Braun Objected to legislative jurisdiction nune pro tune from the time of his arrest and through the trial. The (purported) federal judge was forced to choose between overturning the F.A.C.E. statute as unconstitutional or accepting personal liability for all damages sustained by all of the six victims of this lawless abuse, by the purported, but unsupported in law authority (Not Scope Certified Actions). The U. S. Attorney warned the court that if Mr. Braun's (stand on t be Law of the land) argument concerning legislative jurisdiction prevailed, then "half of the prison doors in America would fly open". The argument of "NO Legislative jurisdiction" can be raised after a victim has been imprisoned unlawfully. The liability standing with those who have victimized the same. The judge, however, ignored the U. S. attorney's warning recognized the actual Law, and did the

honorable, lawful thing, (avoided personal liability) ruled in favor of Braun and the American People ruling F.A.C.E., statute unconstitutional and freed Braun and his five joinder co—victims.

? (What personal liability does the county sheriff hold for failing to protect those Citizens and the Citizens of the county from the criminal abuse they were subjected to by the alien federal invaders?)

Now that the question of legislative jurisdiction has been brought to the forefront, ignorance of the Law is "NO" excuse comes to the top, and the conclusion "prima facia", that federal agents, prosecutors, and courts who cannot produce their "Delegation of Authority" are outlaws with "NO" venue or jurisdiction to even be present in the county much less exercise any Lawful authority over the people, all public servants, law enforcement personnel, peace officers and others who tolerate the same (federal criminal abuse of the people) are acting outside of the Law against the Citizens under presumption of law and federal authority with "NO" supporting law or delegation of authority. They are therefore acting outside of Law in their private capacity, as "outlaws". They are therefore absolutely liable criminally and civilly for all of the damages sustained by their victim and further their employers and co-conspirators' share jointly and severally the same liability, U.S. v. Braun is the criminal public servants' worst nightmare. It is "prima facia" admission under full faith and credit that there is rarely if ever any federal venue or jurisdiction with regard to the people their private property, private contracts or private lands, in the sovereign states of the Union. Montana has affirmed that it is in "fact" one of the sovereign free states, cite: "Federal Mandates Act" M .C.A. .

The limits of Congressional legislative jurisdiction are clearly spelled out in the Constitution. Any federal statute, i.e. Title 18 U.S.C. enacted has very limited and narrow application within the narrow venue and jurisdiction of Washington D.C. , and "NO" effect of law whatsoever with regard to areas outside of the territories of D.C. , D.C. proper and all ceded Ports, Magazines, Dock yards, needful buildings. To be specific if it has not been properly ended, ceded by legislative action of a Lawful state legislature , certified by deed and accepted by Lawful acceptance of the Congees of the Unite States a territory of any description does NOT fall within the venue and jurisdiction of the United States purported

federal government . THEREFORE, the people are not the “persons” whom the statutes apply to and the territory of that land known as Montana is “off-limits” to the federal foreign agents, INTERPOL-FBI, BATF, CIA, DEA U.S. Attorney and all others purporting to be federal.

By arguing against legislative jurisdiction, victims of the lawless misconduct of federal, state and local public servants, have absolute recourse and remedy to “stop” the lawless ingress upon all Americans. This is an absolute “block” against all unlawful acts which have been commonly used under presumption, of federal authority to victimized law abiding Americans thereby effectively blocking their reign of terror over the American people.

FBI, BAFT, DEA, CIA, the U.S. Attorney, U.S. Marshal’s Service and all of their accomplices are now facing the certain reality of the law of the land and can no longer engage in their unlawful acts confident that they will go unpunished for their crimes. Local public servants who knowingly or unknowingly involve themselves with this people are wakening a thin line to personal financial disaster and imprisonment for several years. Federal and state prisons are being occupied at an alarming rate since 1994, with judges attorneys and law enforcement personnel.

U.S. v. Braun, supra inevitably spills over into state court jurisdictional and venue questions. Why? Because all purported state courts are “federal”. (Sec. Title 12 U.S.C.) there are “NO” state courts since the “New Deal” when state assigns by “de facto” action of governors and legislators without delegation of authority all of the collateral public and private property (which they had no authority to assign) to cover the debts of the then bankrupt corporate de fact United States (see Title 28 U. S.C. s . sec. 3002, supra.) Said bankruptcy recently recognized in the Congressional Record March the 17th 1993 Anno Domini.

Public servants who are acting without full knowledge of the Law are tempting fate, with their own fortunes and Liberty. Americans are rising up in every community with full knowledge of their Laws, unalienable Rights an ability to prosecute in Law.

I, as do many of the American people feel strongly that it is our duty to notice and Inform Men and Women in public service, who generally have the best interest of the people in their hearts, of the Law, and the responsibilities they have assumed in their capacity of public service. No greater call exists, nor is there a greater responsibility than that of public service.

Good, sincere Law abiding public servants are an invaluable asset to the American Republic, to the local community and neighborhood. On the other hand we all know how devastating it can be when anyone acts outside of the Law, especially when the lives of the people are being directly effected in an adverse manner.

I trust you and your entire staff are of the finest intentions to serve with dignity.

THEREFORE, I am calling to your attention the fact in Law that several victims of a waive of federal abuse ate being held against their will and unalienable Rights in your facility. I am compelling you and the sheriff, Mr. Maxwell to immediately recognize the gravity of the situation in Yellowstone County. Do your job!! Banish all lawless federal

agencies and personnel who cannot produce their “Lawful , certified Delegation of Authority”, immediately under take to release all victims of the federal, de facto, usurption of the Law and authority, and restore Law and order to the Yellowstone county, Montana state , united states of America, as you are bound to the people by the 1889 Montana state Constitution in Law.

Neither admitting or denying to any foreign venue or jurisdiction all unalienable Rights expressly without prejudice.

Russell Landers