1 2 3 4 5 6 7	DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney MELISSA SCHRAIBMAN LARRY WSZALEK JEFFREY A. NEIMAN Trial Attorneys U.S. Department of Justice Tax Division DANIEL R. SCHIESS Assistant United States Attorney 333 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 5000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 388-6336	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10	-oOo-	
11		
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
13	Plaintiff,  Case No. CR-S-04-0119-KJD(LRL)  GOVERNMENT'S OPPOSITION TO	
14	ODEFENDANT SCHIFF'S MOTION TO	
15	CANNOT HAVE JURISDICTION  CYNTHIA NEUN,  CANNOT HAVE JURISDICTION  CREATER INDICTMENT FAILS TO	
16	and LAWRENCE IN COILEIN, CHARGE AN OFFENSE	
17	Defendants.	
18	daniel DANIEL G ROGDEN United	
19	COMES NOW the United States of America, through DANIEL G. BOGDEN, United	
20	States Attorney, by MELISSA SCHRAIBMAN, LARRY WSZALEK, and JEFFREY A. NEIMAN,	
21	Trial Attorneys, United States Department of Justice, Tax Division, and DANIEL R. SCHIESS,	
22	Assistant United States Attorney, and submits this opposition to defendant Irwin Schiff's Motion to	
23	Dismiss Since this Court Cannot Have Jurisdiction Since the Indictment Fails to Charge an Offense	
24	(Docket # 163).	
25		
26		
	H	

 $\parallel$ 

As the government argued in United States' Consolidated Memorandum in Opposition to Defendant Schiff's Motion to Dismiss (Docket #59), defendant's argument that the District Court has no subject matter jurisdiction is frivolous.

As the government argued in United States' Consolidated Memorandum in Opposition to Defendant Schiff's Motion to Dismiss (Docket #59), defendant's argument that failing to pay income taxes is not a civil or criminal offense is frivolous.

As the government argued in United States' Consolidated Memorandum in Opposition to Defendant Schiff's Motion to Dismiss (Docket # 59), defendant's argument that the government's definition of "income" is incorrect is frivolous.

As the government argued in United States' Consolidated Memorandum in Opposition to Defendant Schiff's Motion to Suppress (Docket #76), defendant's argument that IRS Agents have no authority to enforce the Internal Revenue Code, apply for and execute a search warrant, or to carry firearms is frivolous.

As the government argued in Government's Memorandum in Opposition to Defendant Schiff's Motion to Dismiss Counts 18-23 Because the Tax Returns At Issue Were Not Filed Voluntarily (Docket #72), defendant's argument that his tax returns were not filed voluntarily is frivolous.

As the government argued in Government's Opposition to Defendant Schiff's Motion to Dismiss All Counts In the Indictment Involving Him, Due to His Reliance on Supreme Court Decisions and Other Government Claims (Docket # 75), defendant's argument that his good faith reliance on prior Supreme Court decisions is an affirmative defense to willfulness is frivolous.

For the foregoing reasons, defendant Schiff's motion should be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney

Trial Attorney

U.S. Department of Justice

Tax Division

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA -oOo-3 4 5 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 6 Plaintiff, Case No. CR-S-04-0119-KJD(LRL) VS. 8 IRWIN SCHIFF, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE CYNTHIA NEUN. and LAWRENCE N. COHEN, 10 Defendants. 11 I, Jean Umland, hereby certify that I am an employee of the United States Attorney's Office, 12 United States Department of Justice, and that on this day I served a copy of the Government's 13 Opposition to Defendant Schiff's Motion to Dismiss since this Court Cannot Have Jurisdiction 14 since the Indictment Fails to Charge an Offense by placing said Opposition in the U.S. mail box 15 16 upon the following: 17 Irwin A. Schiff, Pro Se 444 E. Sahara Ave. 18 Las Vegas, NV 89104 19 Michael Cristalli Attorney for Cynthia Neun 3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy, Suite 850 20 Las Vegas, NV 89109 21 22 Chad Bowers Attorney for Larry Cohen 23 3202 W. Charleston

Las Vegas, NV 89102

DATED: July 26, 2005.

24

25

26